

# On Harder-Narasimhan stratifications

Nitin Nitsure

# Bundles and semistability

- The concept of **semistability** is defined for many different kinds of algebro-geometric ‘bundles’, such as vector bundles, coherent pure sheaves, Higgs sheaves, connections, principal bundles with a reductive structure group  $G$ , and so on.
- The semistable objects are better behaved in many ways than those which are **unstable** (which means not semistable). e.g. Narasimhan-Seshadri theorem, boundedness, good moduli spaces.
- Harder and Narasimhan (in mid 1970s) initiated a method to understand any unstable object in terms of a natural ‘**filtration**’ of it by semistable objects which are ‘smaller’ than the original object. For a principal bundle, this takes the form of a reduction of its structure group  $G$  to a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ .
- Such a filtration is called the Harder-Narasimhan filtration (**HN-filtration**) or the **canonical reduction** of the object.

# Families of bundles $E/X/S$

- Any ‘bundle’ (vector bundle, coherent sheaf, Higgs sheaf, principal bundle etc)  $E$  on a projective variety  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$  over a field  $K$  has a discrete combinatorial or ‘topological’ type (given by its rank, degree, Hilbert polynomial, characteristic class, etc). This type is locally constant over the parameter space in any **family**  $E$  of bundles over a family  $X \rightarrow S$  of spaces parameterized by a space  $S$  with a given relatively ample line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{X/S}(1)$  on  $X$ .
- A combinatorial (‘topological’) type can be attached to the HN-filtration or canonical reduction of a bundle  $E/X/K$ , called its **Harder-Narasimhan type**  $\text{HN}(E)$ .
- For a given kind of bundles (say, principal  $G$ -bundles where  $G$  is a given reductive group), all possible HN-types of bundles form a partially ordered set.
- The semistable bundles have the minimum HN-type  $\tau_0$  among all bundles of a given ‘topological’ type on a projective variety  $(X/K, \mathcal{O}_{X/K}(1))$ .

# Variation of HN-type in a family

- How does the type  $\text{HN}(E_s)$  of  $E_s = E|_{X_s}$  vary in a family  $E/X/S$  of bundles as  $s$  varies over the parameter space  $S$ ?
- The points  $s \in S$  such that  $E_s = E|_{X_s}$  is semistable form an open subscheme  $S^{\text{ss}}$  (possibly empty) of the parameter space  $S$ .
- The locus where  $\text{HN}(E_s) = \tau_0$  is  $S^{\text{ss}}$ , the open subscheme of  $S$  of semistable bundles.
- For any HN-type  $\tau$ , the Zariski closure of  $S^\tau$  (the subset of  $S$  defined by  $\text{HN}(E_s) = \tau$ ) is contained in  $S^{\geq \tau}$  (the subset of  $S$  defined by  $\text{HN}(E_s) \geq \tau$ ).
- The subsets  $S^{\geq \tau}$  and  $S^{> \tau}$  are closed in  $S$ . In particular,  $S^\tau$  is a locally closed subset of  $S$ .
- For any family of bundles  $E/X/S$ , the set-theoretic disjoint union  $S = \cup S^\tau$  can be called the **(set-theoretic) Harder-Narasimhan stratification** of  $S$ .

# From sets to schemes: basic questions

- The above facts were known for various kinds of bundles for a few decades, due to S.S. Shatz and others, before I raised the following questions in 2008. These were inspired by my collaboration with Leticia Brambila-Paz.
- For any family  $E/X/S$  and an HN-type  $\tau$ , does the locally closed subset  $S^\tau = \{s \in S \mid \text{HN}(E_s) = \tau\}$  have a **natural** structure of a scheme, with an appropriate **universal property**?
- What should be its **functor of points**?
- With its functorial scheme structure, will  $S^\tau$  be a **locally closed subscheme** of  $S$ ?
- Is there a natural **algebraic stack** of bundles of HN-type  $\tau$ ? What are its properties?
- Brambila-Paz, Kirwan and various others (including myself) have worked on **moduli spaces** for fixed HN-types. I will not address this question here.

# References for this talk

[N] arXiv:0909.0891 : Harder-Narasimhan stacks are constructed for **coherent pure sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules** on the fibers of a projective scheme over a noetherian base scheme (of possibly mixed characteristic).

[G-N-1] arXiv:1208.5572 (jointly with Sudarshan Gurjar) : Harder-Narasimhan stacks are constructed for  $\Lambda$ -**modules** in the above set-up (in particular, for **Higgs sheaves, connections**, etc). This paper also treats **principal  $G$ -bundles over curves in characteristic zero**, where  $G$  is a reductive group (which turned out to be already proved by Kai Behrend in his thesis).

[G-N-2] arXiv:1505.02236 (jointly with Gurjar) : This treats principal  $G$ -bundles in **arbitrary dimensions, in characteristic zero**.

[G-N-3] arXiv:1605.08997v4 Nov 2020 (jointly with Gurjar) : This treats principal  $G$ -bundles in **arbitrary dimensions, in any characteristic**. This is the focus of the rest of this talk.

# Some basics about reductive groups

Here onwards, we will use some facts from the theory of reductive groups. The 2016 textbook of J.S. Milne is an excellent reference.

- A **split torus** over is linear algebraic group  $T$  over a field  $k$  that is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  over  $k$  ( $\mathbb{G}_m = GL_1 = \text{Spec } k[t, t^{-1}]$ ). A **torus** is linear algebraic group  $T$  over a field  $k$  which becomes isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  on base-change to an extension field of  $k$ .
- A connected reduced linear algebraic group over a field  $k$  is called **reductive** if all its connected closed reduced normal solvable subgroups are tori. Examples:  $GL_n$ ,  $SL_n$ ,  $SO_n$ ,  $Sp_n$  are reductive for all  $n \geq 0$ . The product of two reductive groups is reductive.
- A **split reductive group** over  $k$  is a pair  $(G, T)$  where  $G$  is reductive, and  $T$  is a maximal torus contained in  $G$  (as a closed subgroup), and moreover  $T$  is a split torus over  $k$ .
- Given such a  $(G, T)$ , we can choose a **Borel subgroup**  $B$  (a maximal closed reduced solvable subgroup) such that  $T \subset B \subset G$ .

# Roots, weights, Weyl chamber, partial order, etc.

We fix the following notation:

- $G$  a reductive group scheme over a base field  $k$ .
- $T \subset B \subset G$  a maximal torus and a Borel. We assume that  $T$  is split over  $k$ .
- $\Delta \subset X^*(T)$  corresponding set of all simple roots.
- $\omega_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes X^*(T)$  dominant weight corresponding to  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .
- $I_P \subset \Delta$  the set of inverted simple roots corresponding to any standard parabolic  $B \subset P \subset G$ .
- $\overline{C} \subset \mathbb{Q} \otimes X_*(T)$  closed positive Weyl chamber. Recall that  $\overline{C} = \{\mu \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes X_*(T) \mid \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta\}$ .
- A partial order on  $\overline{C}$  defined by putting  $\mu \leq \nu$  if  $\langle \omega_\alpha, \mu \rangle \leq \langle \omega_\alpha, \nu \rangle$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and  $\chi(\mu) = \chi(\nu)$  for all  $\chi \in \widehat{G} = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ .



# Principal bundles, semistability -1

- We fix a base field  $k$ , and a split-reductive group  $G$  over  $k$ , and subgroups  $T \subset B \subset G$  where  $T$  is a maximal split torus and  $B$  a Borel subgroup.
- Given an extension field  $K$  of  $k$ , we will write  $G_K, T_K, B_K$  and  $P_K$  where  $P \supset B$  simply as  $G, T, B, P$  when no confusion can result. These  $P_K$  are exactly the parabolics of  $G_K$  that contain  $B_K$ .
- For any principal  $G$ -bundle  $E$  (means  $G_K$ -bundle) over a projective variety  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$  over an extension field  $K$  of  $k$ , the notion of semistability was defined in Ramanathan's thesis ( $\sim 1975$ ).
- The bundle  $E$  is assumed to be locally trivial in the étale topology (but not necessarily so in the Zariski topology).
- Semistability makes sense even for bundles defined on **big** open subsets  $U \subset X$  ('big' means  $\dim(X - U) \leq \dim(X) - 2$ ). This is important for defining a canonical reduction of  $E$ .

## Principal bundles, semistability -2

- A closed reduced subgroup  $P \subset G$  is called a **parabolic subgroup** if  $B \subset P$  for some Borel  $B$ , equivalently, if the variety  $G/P$  is complete ('compact').
- Vector bundle corresponds to a principal  $GL_n$ -bundles and a subbundle correspond to a **reduction of structure group** from  $G = GL_n$  to a parabolic subgroup  $P \subset G$ . The definition of semistability of vector bundles has a translation in terms of such reductions, and this becomes the general definition of semistability for principal bundles.
- An unavoidable complication when  $\dim(X) \geq 2$  is that we must consider such reductions that are possibly only defined on a **big** open subset  $U \subset X$ , where 'big' means  $\text{codim}(X - U, X) \geq 2$ . The resulting notion of semistability for principal  $G$ -bundles corresponds to the notion of **slope-semistability** for vector bundles ( $\text{rank}(E)\text{deg}(F) - \text{rank}(F)\text{deg}(E) \leq 0$ ).

# Principal bundles, semistability -3

- A principal  $G$ -bundle  $E$  defined on a big open subset  $U$  of a geometrically irreducible smooth projective variety  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$  over a field extension  $K/k$  is **semistable** if the following holds.
- For any rational reduction  $\sigma$  of the structure group  $G$  to a standard parabolic  $P$  and any **dominant character**  $\chi : P \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ , the line bundle  $\chi_*\sigma^*E$  on  $X$  has degree  $\leq 0$ . Here,  $\sigma^*E$  is the principal  $P$ -bundle defined by  $\sigma$ , and  $\chi$  associates to it the line bundle  $\chi_*\sigma^*E$ .
- Dominance of  $\chi$  means for any positive co-root  $\alpha^\vee$  of  $(G, B, T)$ , we have  $\langle \chi, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$  and  $\chi$  is trivial on  $Z_0(G)$ , the connected component of the center of  $G$ .
- The line bundle  $\chi_*\sigma^*E$  is defined on a big open subset of  $X$ , hence has a uniquely unique prolongation to  $X$ , by smoothness of  $X/K$  using Weil divisors and their closures. So the degree of  $\chi_*\sigma^*E$  makes sense.

# The type of a rational parabolic reduction

- To each rational parabolic reduction  $\sigma$  of  $E$  to a standard parabolic  $P$ , there is an associated **type**  $\mu_{(P,\sigma)}(E) \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes X_*(T)$  (a virtual 1-PS of  $T$ ), defined as follows, where  $\chi$  varies over  $X^*(T)$  ( $= \widehat{T}$ , the group of all homomorphisms  $T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ ).

$$\langle \chi, \mu_{(P,\sigma)}(E) \rangle = \begin{cases} \deg(\chi_* \sigma^* E) & \text{if } \chi \in \widehat{P}|_T, \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \in I_P. \end{cases}$$

- In the above,  $\widehat{P}$  is the group of all homomorphisms  $P \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  and  $I_P$  is the subset of the base  $\Delta$  of roots of  $(G, B, T)$  that are inverted to define  $P \supset B$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes X^*(T) = \mathbb{Q}\widehat{P}|_T \oplus \mathbb{Q}I_P$ , so this uniquely specifies the type  $\mu_{(P,\sigma)}$ .

# Canonical reduction

- A **canonical reduction**  $(P, \sigma)$  of  $E$  is a rational reduction  $\sigma : U \rightarrow E/P$  to a standard parabolic  $P$  such that:
- If  $\rho : P \rightarrow L$  is the Levi quotient of  $P$  (by its unipotent radical) then  $\rho_*\sigma^*E$  is a semistable principal  $L$ -bundle defined on the big open subscheme  $U$  (note that the definition of semistability makes sense also for a principal bundle defined on any big open subscheme of  $X$ ).
- For any non-trivial character  $\chi \in \widehat{P}$  whose restriction to the chosen maximal torus  $T \subset B \subset P$  has the form  $\sum n_j \alpha_j$  with  $\alpha_j \in \Delta$  where  $n_j \geq 0$ , we must have  $\deg(\chi_*\sigma^*E) > 0$ .

# The condition (\*)

- We will henceforth assume that the various Levi quotients  $H$  of  $G$  (including  $G$  itself) have the following property (\*).
- (\*) Given any field extensions  $L/K/k$ , a geometrically connected smooth projective variety  $(X, \mathcal{O}_{X/K}(1))$  over  $K$  and a principal  $H$ -bundle  $E$  defined on a big open subset in  $X$ , the base change  $E_L$  on  $(X_L, \mathcal{O}_{X_L/L}(1))$  is semistable if and only if  $E$  is semistable.
- By the **semistable restriction theorem of Gurjar**, it is enough to assume this when  $\dim(X) = 1$ .
- The condition (\*) is satisfied by all classical reductive groups. The exceptional simple groups satisfy (\*) in large enough characteristics. The Behrend conjecture implies (\*).  
J. Heinloth (in IMRN 2008) has more on this.

# Harder-Narasimhan type

- **Theorem of Behrend:** Given a principal  $G$ -bundle  $E/X/K$ , there exists a unique canonical reduction  $(P, \sigma)$ . Its type  $\mu_{(P, \sigma)}$  is called the **Harder-Narasimhan type**, denoted by  $\text{HN}(E)$ .
- We have  $\text{HN}(E) \in \overline{C} \subset \mathbb{Q} \otimes X_*(T)$ . In fact,  $\langle \alpha, \text{HN}(E) \rangle > 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta - I_P$  and  $\langle \beta, \text{HN}(E) \rangle = 0$  for all  $\beta \in I_P$ , so it lies in the face of  $\overline{C}$  corr. to  $P$ . The open face corr. to  $P = B$ , and the vertex  $0 \in \overline{C}$  corr. to  $P = G$ , which is case when  $E$  is semistable.
- **Maximality** Given any other rational parabolic reduction  $(Q, \tau)$  of  $E$ , we have

$$\mu_{(Q, \tau)} \leq \text{HN}(E)$$

w.r.t. the natural partial ordering on  $\overline{C}$ .

- Other proofs by Biswas-Holla and Gurjar-Nitsure. Apparently Ramanathan knew the theorem but did not publish any proof.

# Definition of a relative rational $P$ -reduction for $E/X/S$

- For  $P \supset B$ , let  $V_P = H^0(G/P, \omega_{G/P}^{-1})^\vee$  as a  $G$ -representation. Then we have a  $G$ -equivariant projective embedding  $G/P \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(V)$  as  $\omega_{G/P}^{-1}$  is very ample. The  $P$ -fixed point  $eP \in G/P$  corresponds to a line  $J \subset V_P$  which is a  $P$ -representation via a dominant character  $\lambda_P : P \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ .
- A **relative rational  $P$ -reduction** of  $E$  over an  $S$ -scheme  $T$  is a reduction  $\sigma : U \rightarrow E_T/P$  where  $U \subset X_T$  is a **relatively big** open subset (means each fiber  $U_t$  is big in  $X_t$ ), such the associated line bundle  $(\lambda_P)_* \sigma^*(E_T)$  on  $U$  has a prolongation to a line bundle  $L$  on  $X_T$  (such a prolongation is uniquely unique, if it exists).
- If  $T = \text{Spec } K$  for any field  $K$  over  $S$ , then note that the above definition reduces to the usual definition of a rational  $P$ -reduction over  $X_K$ , as in that case any line bundle admits a prolongation.



# The groupoid $Bun_{X/S}^\tau(G)$ over $S$

- Let  $k$  be a field,  $(G, T)$  a split reductive group over  $k$ , and  $B$  a chosen Borel with  $T \subset B \subset G$ .
- Let  $(X/S, \mathcal{O}_{X/S}(1))$  be a smooth family of geometrically irreducible projective varieties over a noetherian  $k$ -scheme  $S$ . For any  $S$ -scheme  $T$  let  $Bun_{X/S}(G)(T)$  denote the groupoid of all principal  $G$ -bundles on  $X_T$ . Then (as proved e.g. in [G-N-3])  $Bun_{X/S}(G)$  is an algebraic stack over  $S$ .
- Moreover, it is shown in [G-N-3] that if  $G$  satisfies the condition  $(*)$ , then for any  $\tau \in \overline{C}$  (the closed positive Weyl chamber for  $G$ ), the algebraic stack  $Bun_{X/S}(G)$  has open substacks  $Bun_{X/S}^{\times\tau}(G)(T)$  and  $Bun_{X/S}^{\leq\tau}(G)(T)$ . In particular, semistable  $G$ -bundles on fibers of  $X/S$  form an open substack of  $Bun_{X/S}(G)$ .

# Main Theorem

- For any  $\tau \in \overline{C}$  (the closed positive Weyl chamber for  $G$ ), let  $Bun_{X/S}^\tau(G)(T)$  be the groupoid of all principal  $G$ -bundles  $E/X_T/T$  together with a relative canonical reduction of type  $\tau$ . The following is the main result of [G-N-3], assuming  $G$  satisfies (\*).
- **Theorem**  $Bun_{X/S}^\tau(G)$  is an algebraic stack over  $S$ . The forgetful 1-morphism  $Bun_{X/S}^\tau(G) \rightarrow Bun_{X/S}(G)$  is schematic, of finite type, separated, universally injective (radicial) and induces an isomorphism on all residue fields.  
The forgetful 1-morphism  $Bun_{X/S}^\tau(G) \rightarrow Bun_{X/S}^{\neq \tau}(G)$  (the open substack of  $Bun_{X/S}(G)$  defined by  $\text{HN}(E) \not\prec \tau$ ) is finite. Moreover, if the Behrend conjecture holds for  $G$  then  $Bun_{X/S}^\tau(G) \rightarrow Bun_{X/S}^{\neq \tau}(G)$  is a closed embedding.

# A glimpse of the proof

- A rational parabolic reduction of  $E/X/S$  of type  $\tau$  corresponds to the equivalence class of a pair  $(L, i)$ , where  $L$  is a line bundle on  $X$  and  $i : L \rightarrow E(V_P)$  is a homomorphism satisfying various properties.
- The equivalence classes of pairs  $(L, i)$  can be parameterized by using the projective scheme  $Proj(Q)$  of a certain Grothendieck  $Q$ -sheaf over the relative Picard scheme  $Pic_{X/S}$ . We expect the use  $Proj(Q)$  to be of independent interest.
- Then various standard tools for dealing with coherent sheaves on projective schemes over noetherian bases such as flat descent, semicontinuity and base change, flattening stratifications, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, Hilbert and Quot schemes, relative duality, cohomology vanishing result of the Enriques-Severi-Zariski kind, etc. combined with basic facts about reductive groups and their representations, prove the results.

# A glimpse of the proof

- A rational parabolic reduction of  $E/X/S$  of type  $\tau$  corresponds to the equivalence class of a pair  $(L, i)$ , where  $L$  is a line bundle on  $X$  and  $i : L \rightarrow E(V_P)$  is a homomorphism satisfying various properties.
- The equivalence classes of pairs  $(L, i)$  can be parameterized by using the projective scheme  $Proj(Q)$  of a certain Grothendieck  $Q$ -sheaf over the relative Picard scheme  $Pic_{X/S}$ . We expect the use  $Proj(Q)$  to be of independent interest.
- Then various standard tools for dealing with coherent sheaves on projective schemes over noetherian bases such as flat descent, semicontinuity and base change, flattening stratifications, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, Hilbert and Quot schemes, relative duality, cohomology vanishing result of the Enriques-Severi-Zariski kind, etc. combined with basic facts about reductive groups and their representations, prove the results.

**Thank you for your kind attention.**